

Annex 4

ESPON-INTERACT Thematic Study on Cross Border Cooperation

Additional figures and maps

1 Distribution of projects by INTERREG IIIA Programmes, which addresses themes in the ESPON-INTERACT INTERREG IIIA Database including first, second and third priorities.




Figure 1 Distribution of projects addressed by theme including first, second and third priorities INTERREG 1 Skårgården




Figure 2 Distribution of projects addressed by theme including first, second and third priorities INTERREG 2 Kvarken-Mittskandia




Figure 3 Distribution of projects addressed by theme including first, second and third priorities INTERREG 3 Bavaria-Austria




Figure 4 Distribution of projects addressed by theme including first, second and third priorities INTERREG 4 Austria-Czech Republic




Figure 5 Distribution of projects addressed by theme including first, second and third priorities INTERREG 5 Austria - Slovenia




Figure 6 Distribution of projects addressed by theme including first, second and third priorities INTERREG 6 Austria-Hungary




Figure 7 Distribution of projects addressed by theme including first, second and third priorities INTERREG 7 Austria-Slovakia




Figure 8 Distribution of projects addressed by theme including first, second and third priorities INTERREG 8 Sweden-Norway




Figure 9 Distribution of projects addressed by theme including first, second and third priorities INTERREG 9 EMS-Dollart Region




Figure 10 Distribution of projects addressed by theme including first, second and third priorities INTERREG 10 Alperhein-Bodensee-Hochrhein




Figure 11 Distribution of projects addressed by theme including first, second and third priorities INTERREG 11 Saxony-Poland




Figure 12 Distribution of projects addressed by theme including first, second and third priorities INTERREG 12 Sachsen-Czech Republic




Figure 13 Distribution of projects addressed by theme including first, second and third priorities INTERREG 13 Rhein-Waal and Rhein-Maas-Noord




Figure 14 Distribution of projects addressed by theme including first, second and third priorities INTERREG 14 Brandenburg-Lubuska




Figure 15 Distribution of projects addressed by theme including first, second and third priorities INTERREG 15 Italy-Austria




Figure 16 Distribution of projects addressed by theme including first, second and third priorities INTERREG 16 Alcotra




Figure 17 Distribution of projects addressed by theme including first, second and third priorities INTERREG 17 Islands




Figure 18 Distribution of projects addressed by theme including first, second and third priorities INTERREG 18 Italy-Slovenia




Figure 19 Distribution of projects addressed by theme including first, second and third priorities INTERREG 19 Ireland-Northern Ireland




Figure 20 Distribution of projects addressed by theme including first, second and third priorities INTERREG 20 Ireland-Wales




Figure 21 Distribution of projects addressed by theme including first, second and third priorities INTERREG 21 Pamina




Figure 22 Distribution of projects addressed by theme including first, second and third priorities INTERREG 22 Oberrhein Mitte Süd – Rhin Superior Centre-Sud




Figure 23 Distribution of projects addressed by theme including first, second and third priorities INTERREG 23 Bavaria-Czech Republic




Figure 24 Distribution of projects addressed by theme including first, second and third priorities INTERREG 24 Fyn – K.E.R.N.




Figure 25 Distribution of projects addressed by theme including first, second and third priorities INTERREG 25 Sonderjylland –Schleswig




Figure 26 Distribution of projects addressed by theme including first, second and third priorities INTERREG 26 Storstrom – Ostholstein-Lubeck




Figure 27 Distribution of projects addressed by theme including first, second and third priorities INTERREG 27 Germany – Luxembourg – Germanophone Belgium




Figure 28 Distribution of projects addressed by theme including first, second and third priorities INTERREG 28 Saarland – Mosel/Lorraine – Western Palatinate




Figure 29 Distribution of projects addressed by theme including first, second and third priorities INTERREG 29 Spain – Portugal




Figure 30 Distribution of projects addressed by theme including first, second and third priorities INTERREG 30 Spain – Morocco




Figure 31 Distribution of projects addressed by theme including first, second and third priorities INTERREG 31 Italy – Switzerland




Figure 32 Distribution of projects addressed by theme including first, second and third priorities INTERREG 32 Öresund Region




Figure 33 Distribution of projects addressed by theme including first, second and third priorities INTERREG 33 Greece – Albania




Figure 34 Distribution of projects addressed by theme including first, second and third priorities INTERREG 34 Greece – FYROM




Figure 35 Distribution of projects addressed by theme including first, second and third priorities INTERREG 35 Greece – Bulgaria




Figure 36 Distribution of projects addressed by theme including first, second and third priorities INTERREG 36 Greece – Cyprus




Figure 37 Distribution of projects addressed by theme including first, second and third priorities INTERREG 37 Mecklenburg Vorpommern – Poland




Figure 38 Distribution of projects addressed by theme including first, second and third priorities INTERREG 38 Euregio Maas-Rhein




Figure 39 Distribution of projects addressed by theme including first, second and third priorities INTERREG 39 Euregio Karelia




Figure 40 Distribution of projects addressed by theme including first, second and third priorities INTERREG 40 South East Finland – Russia




Figure 41 Distribution of projects addressed by theme including first, second and third priorities INTERREG 41 France – Switzerland




Figure 42 Distribution of projects addressed by theme including first, second and third priorities INTERREG 42 France – Spain




Figure 43 Distribution of projects addressed by theme including first, second and third priorities INTERREG 43 Nord




Figure 44 Distribution of projects addressed by theme including first, second and third priorities INTERREG 44 Finland – Estonia




Figure 45 Distribution of projects addressed by theme including first, second and third priorities INTERREG 45 Gensregio Vlaanderen-Nederland




Figure 46 Distribution of projects addressed by theme including first, second and third priorities INTERREG 46 Wallonia – Lorraine – Luxembourg




Figure 47 Distribution of projects addressed by theme including first, second and third priorities INTERREG 47 Kent-Sussex – Nord Pas de Calais-Picardie




Figure 48 Distribution of projects addressed by theme including first, second and third priorities INTERREG 48 Gibraltar – Morocco




Figure 49 Distribution of projects addressed by theme including first, second and third priorities INTERREG 49 France/Wallonia - Flanders




Figure 50 Distribution of projects addressed by theme including first, second and third priorities INTERREG 50 Italy - Abania




Figure 51 Distribution of projects addressed by theme including first, second and third priorities INTERREG 51 Greece - Italy




Figure 52 Distribution of projects addressed by theme including first, second and third priorities INTERREG 53 Italy - Balkans - Adriatic




Figure 53 Distribution of projects addressed by theme including first, second and third priorities INTERREG 54 Czech Republic – Poland




Figure 54 Distribution of projects addressed by theme including first, second and third priorities INTERREG 56 Slovakia – Czech Republic




Figure 55 Figure Distribution of projects addressed by theme including first, second and third priorities INTERREG 58 Lithuania – Poland - Russia




Figure 56 Distribution of projects addressed by theme including first, second and third priorities INTERREG 59 Hungary – Slovakia - Ukraine




Figure 57 Distribution of projects addressed by theme including first, second and third priorities INTERREG 60 Hungary – Romania – Serbia – Montenegro




Figure 58 Distribution of projects addressed by theme including first, second and third priorities INTERREG 61 Slovenia – Hungary – Croatia




Figure 59 Distribution of projects addressed by theme including first, second and third priorities INTERREG 62 Italy - Malta




Figure 60 Distribution of projects addressed by theme including first, second and third priorities INTERREG 63 Estonia – Latvia – Russia




Figure 61 Distribution of projects addressed by theme including first, second and third priorities INTERREG 64 Latvia – Lithuania – Belarus

2 Distribution of projects by INTERREG IIIA Programmes, which addresses themes in the ESPON-INTERACT INTERREG IIIA Database including first, second and third priorities.




Figure 62 Top 10 INTERREG IIIA Programmes with Transport priorities




Figure 63 Top 10 INTERREG IIIA Programmes with ICT priorities




Figure 64 Top 10 INTERREG IIIA Programmes with Energy priorities




Figure 65 Top 10 INTERREG IIIA Programmes with Environment priorities




Figure 66 Top 10 INTERREG IIIA Programmes with Hazards priorities




Figure 67 Top 10 INTERREG IIIA Programmes with Cross Border Social Interaction priorities




Figure 68 Top 10 INTERREG IIIA Programmes with Growth, Employment & Competitiveness priorities




Figure 69 Top 10 INTERREG IIIA Programmes with Knowledge Sharing & Innovation priorities




Figure 70 Top 10 INTERREG IIIA Programmes with Education & Training priorities





Figure 71 Top 10 INTERREG IIIA Programmes with Remote & Rural Development priorities

3 Additional Maps

Mountain Ranges of Europe in INTERREG IIIA Programme Areas Degree of coverage approximated to NUTS 3 border regions




© EuroGeographics Association for the administrative boundaries

- High coverage (more than 45%)
- Medium coverage (less than 45%)
- Low or No coverage - Plain region
- Non border regions
- Mountains Ranges of Europe

Sources: Nordregio, Mountain areas in Europe: Analysis of mountain areas in EU Member States, acceding and other European countries. (Nordregio Report 2004)
KTH Mountain Database, (2006) for definition of coverages
Nordregio, 2004/DG Regio for location of mountain ranges

Map 1 Mountain Ranges of Europe in INTERREG IIIA Programme Areas / Degree of coverage approximated to NUTS 3 border regions

Density of river crossings per 100 kms. in NUTS 3 land border regions




© EuroGeographics Association for the administrative boundaries

Source: KTH, Land crossings in border regions Database, 2006

- Non border regions
- VERY LOW - (0 - 2 river crossings per 100 km.)
- LOW - (2 - 4 river crossings per 100 km.)
- MEDIUM - (4 - 6 river crossings per 100 km.)
- HIGH - (6 - 8 river crossings per 100 km.)
- VERY HIGH - (> 8 river crossings per 100 km.)

Map 2 Density of river crossings per 100 kms, in NUTS 3 land border regions

Types of Cross Border Cooperation Regions in Europe and area of coverage




© EuroGeographics Association for the administrative boundaries

Source: KTH, Cross Border Regions Database, 2006

- Euroregions
- Scandinavian Type of Euroregions
- Working Communities

Map 3 Types of Cross Border Cooperation Regions in Europe and area of coverage

Geographic type of land border of NUTS 3 regions plus density of border crossings (roads and rail crossings per 100km) in border regions across EU 27+2



© EuroGeographics Association for the administrative boundaries

Source: KTH, Land crossings in border regions Database (2006) for density of accessibility and location of rivers and green areas. Nordregio 2004/DG Regio for location of mountains.

- | | | | |
|--|--|------------------------|--|
| | Non border regions | | |
| | No density (No international rail/roads crossings) | | |
| | VERY LOW (0 - 3 crossings per 100km.) | Type of Border: | |
| | LOW (3 - 5 crossings per 100km.) | | |
| | MEDIUM (5 - 10 crossings per 100km.) | | |
| | HIGH (10 - 15 crossings per 100km.) | | |
| | VERY HIGH (more than 15 crossings per 100km.) | | |


Map 4 Geographic type of border of NUTS 3 regions plus density of border crossings (roads and rail crossings per 100 km) in border regions across EU 27+2

4 Draft border region typologies produced by ESPON 1.1.3 "Enlargement"

Draft Typology # 1: border typology for integration potential – geographical-physical border typology

Potential for change Starting position	High: Green border	Low: Mountain/River border
Good: High density of border crossing points	Forerunners of integration	Hardworkers of integration
Bad: Low density of border crossing points	Candidates of integration	Handicapped for integration


Draft #1 border typology for integration potential



Draft Typology # 2: border typology for integration potential – socio-economic border typology

Potential for change Starting position	High: High economic disparities	Low: Low economic disparities
Good: High number of trans- national activities	Forerunners of integration	Hardworkers of integration
Bad: Low number of trans- national activities	Candidates of integration	Handicapped for integration

Draft #2 border typology for integration potential



- Forerunners of integration
- Hardworkers of integration
- Candidates of integration
- Handicapped for integration

- Non EU members
- EU member states

Source of Data: ÖIR, I. Illés