

ESPON Evidence Report Umbria



ESPON Project TerrEvi

August 2013

This report presents a more detailed overview of the analytical approach to be applied by the project. This "Scientific Platform and Tools" Project is conducted within the framework of the ESPON 2013 Programme, partly financed by the European Regional Development Fund.

The partnership behind the ESPON Programme consists of the EU Commission and the Member States of the EU27, plus Iceland, Liechtenstein, Norway and Switzerland. Each partner is represented in the ESPON Monitoring Committee.

This report does not necessarily reflect the opinion of the members of the Monitoring Committee.

Information on the ESPON Programme and projects can be found on www.espon.eu

The web site provides the possibility to download and examine the most recent documents produced by finalised and ongoing ESPON projects.

This basic report exists only in an electronic version.

© ESPON &TerrEvi Project Team 2013

The ESPON TerrEvi Project is carried out by Metis (lead partner), t33, Faculty of Geography and Geology Iasi (FGGI) and Spatial Foresight.

For comments with regard to this report please contact Alessandro Valenza or Andrea Gramillano

a.valenza@t33.it

a.gramillano@t33.it

Printing, reproduction or quotation is authorised provided the source is acknowledged and a copy is forwarded to the ESPON Coordination Unit in Luxembourg.

Executive Summary	5
Introduction	9
Methodology	10
Workshops	10
Traffic lights for the programme area indicators: User's Guide	11
Context information	12
Population change.....	13
GDP in PPS per capita.....	14
1 Europe 2020	15
1.1 Smart Growth	16
Total intramural R&D expenditure	17
Private sector R&D expenditures	18
R&D distance to the 2020 national targets	19
Employment in Knowledge-Intensive Services	20
Human resources in science and technology	21
Territorial Patterns of Innovation	22
Private use of e-commerce.....	23
ICT employment	24
Results and feedback from the workshop	25
1.2 Sustainable Growth	26
Wind power potential.....	27
Solar power potential	28
Combined adaptive capacity to climate change	29
Potential impact of climate change	30
Potential vulnerability to climate change	31
Air pollution	32
Results and feedback from the workshop	33
1.3 Inclusive Growth	34
Long-term unemployment rate	35
Employment rate	36
Youth unemployment rate.....	37
Change in population in 2005-2050.....	38
Change in labour force in 2005-2050.....	39
Share of old people	40
People at risk of poverty	41
People with high education.....	42
Young academics	43
Adults in education and training.....	44
Regional early school leavers.....	45
Results and feedback from the workshop	46
2 Territorial factors of interest for the programme area.....	47
Urban-rural typology	48
Multimodal accessibility	49

3 Recommended ESPON reading	50
Map 1 Population change, 2001-2010	13
Map 2 GDP (PPS), 2009	14
Map 3 Share of R&D expenditure of GDP, 2009	17
Map 4 Business expenditure on R&D as percentage of regional GDP, combined years from 2007 to 2009	18
Map 5 R&D distance to national target	19
Map 6 Employment in Knowledge-Intensive Services, 2010	20
Map 7 Human resources in science and technology as percentage of regional active population, 2010	21
Map 8 Territorial Patterns of Innovation, 2011.....	22
Map 9 Private use of e-commerce, 2010.....	23
Map 10 People working in the ICT sector as percentage of total regional employment 2011	24
Map 11 Wind power potentials	27
Map 12 Solar power potential.....	28
Map 13 Combined adaptive capacity to climate change	29
Map 14 Aggregate potential impact of climate change	30
Map 15 Potential vulnerability to climate change	31
Map 16 GHG emissions, 2008	32
Map 17 Long-term unemployment rate, 2011.....	35
Map 18 Employment Rate, 2011	36
Map 19 Youth Unemployment Rate, 2011	37
Map 20 Change in population in 2005-2050	38
Map 21 Change in labour force in 2005-2050	39
Map 22 Share of old people, 2010	40
Map 23 Population at Risk of Poverty, 2010	41
Map 24 Persons aged 25-64 and 20-24 with Upper Secondary or Tertiary Education Attainment, 2011.....	42
Map 25 Regional population aged 30 to 34 with tertiary education, 2010	43
Map 26 Share of adults in education and training, 2010.....	44
Map 27 Regional early school leavers from education and training as percentage of population aged 18 to 24 (drop-out rate), 2010	45
Map 28 Urban-rural typology of NUTS3 regions.....	48
Map 29 Multimodal potential accessibility, 2006	49

Executive Summary

The ESPON TerrEvi project aims at providing evidence for Structural Funds programmes to support the development of the programmes planned for the period of 2014-2020. The present territorial Evidence Report is one of ten case studies. This Evidence Report has been complemented by the results of the discussions with the Umbria Programme at the March 2013 workshop. The present report assesses the situation of Umbria in view of developing a future programme and achieving the three objectives of the Europe 2020 Strategy, namely smart, sustainable and inclusive growth.

Generally, the workshop participants expressed the need for ESPON material to help them justify their choice of Operational Programme thematic objectives. Two important aspects have been considered crucial, the detailed explanation and understanding of indicators and their regular update. Furthermore, regarding the territorial coverage, participants argued that it would be efficient for programmes to have access to less aggregated data, possibly provided at the level of NUTS3 regions and main urban hotspots.

Context information

Umbria is one of the twenty Italian NUTS2 regions. It is a landlocked region and its neighbours are the regions of Marche, Emilia-Romagna, Toscana, Lazio and Abruzzo. A detailed look at various ESPON results reveals the situation of the Molise in a European context, as well as regional similarities and disparities within the programme area.

Within Umbria region, one can notice that both provinces recorded for the considered period demographic growth. This was more evident in the province of Perugia (+10.59%), where is also located the main urban centre of the region and less pronounced for the province of Terni (+6.50%). Concerning economic growth, the GDP values of the two NUTS 3 regions composing Umbria, i.e. the provinces of Perugia and Terni, correspond to values identified in the rest of Central Italy. Most regions in Old European Member State, outside capital and main cities, show similar figures.

Generally, the data only provides a snapshot at a given point of time and there is no possibility to observe any developments or trends, so they only have a limited relevance for programming activities. Additional indicators were included in the report following indications emerged during the workshops in order to give evidence on issues specific to Umbria.

Moreover, the timing of the TerrEvi project overlaps with the programming process, thus limiting the possibilities for the programme to benefit from the project results.

Europe 2020: Smart growth main findings

- Expenditures for research and development are considered a driver of economic growth. Investing 3% of GDP for R&D is therefore one of the headline targets of Europe 2020. Private businesses are supposed to contribute to reaching the target. The Umbria region, as well as most of the Central - Eastern and Southern Italy, has lower shares of R&D expenditure, compared to Western and Northern Italy. Umbria has one of the lowest rates of business expenditure on R&D. Indeed, private R&D expenditures in 2007-2009 were evaluated at less than 0.5% of regional GDP. In the global European picture, Umbria can be qualified as a median performing region. As most Italian regions, Umbria is slightly below the Italian target of 1.53% of GDP invested in R&D.
- In Umbria, employment in knowledge-intensive services represents between 26.1% and 34% of the total employment. The region performs according to the majority of the Italian region but slightly underperforming compared to most regions of North-Western Europe. The share ranges between 25 and 35%, similarly to the Italian average figures.
- The regional typology developed by the KIT project identifies several patterns of innovation. Umbria region is qualified as a "smart and creative diversification area". According to the study, this pattern is characterised by a low degree of local diversified applied knowledge, internal innovation capacity, high degree of local competences, high degree of creativity and entrepreneurship, external knowledge embedded in technical and organisational capabilities. A large majority of

Central Italian regions are qualified the same way. In Europe, this pattern is common in many Mediterranean Member States i.e. France, Portugal, Spain and Greece.

Umbria performs under the European average and has one of the lowest values (<15%). This value is similar to most central and southern Italian regions, as well as Portugal, Greece, Bulgaria and Romania.

- Concerning the Digital Agenda, Umbria region has one of the smallest shares of use of e-commerce and ICT employment in Europe.

The discussion with the programme illustrated that the ESPON results on smart growth can be of interest for the needs analysis of the future programme. Moreover, the indicator 'Territorial patterns of innovations' is considered suitable to the programming steps 'thematic concentration' and 'stakeholder dialogue'. The main challenge for using ESPON proposed indicators for identifying promising projects and monitoring results is the availability of frequently updated indicators. As a consequence, an interesting solution could come from the combination of ESPON territorial approach with the regional experience in monitoring and evaluating the regional performance in the comparison with other regions and against EU2020 targets.

Europe 2020: Sustainable growth – main findings

- The use of wind energy potential could be one of the cornerstones in building a competitive low-carbon economy in the EU. Umbria has a very low wind power potential for the region. Nevertheless, Umbria has quite a high level of sun power potential.
- As most southern Italian and south eastern European regions, Umbria has the lowest adaptive capacity to climate change. This means that in the long-run the foreseen capacity of Umbria to adjust to the climate change stimuli is very limited. At the same time, the level of climate change vulnerability is higher than both the European and the Italian average. In Umbria, while the province of Terni has one of the lowest values in Europe in terms of GHG emissions the province of Perugia shows a slightly higher value.

The discussion with the programme illustrated that the ESPON results on sustainable growth can be of interest for the needs analysis and thematic concentration. On the other hand, proposed indicators provided by ESPON projects are difficult to use for 'programme monitoring', 'project selection' and 'stakeholder dialogue' and should be matched with local databases (Basin Authorities and earthquake and risks management) and disaggregate at lower levels. The indicator 'Territorial impact' of EU directive or other similar seem to be very interesting as an impact indicator, if measured and monitored again in some years.

The discussion with the programme illustrated that the ESPON results on sustainable growth can be of interest for the needs analysis and stakeholder dialogue. On the other hand, proposed indicators provided by ESPON projects are considered partially useful for monitoring changes, thematic concentration and for identifying promising projects within the new programme. In particular the indicator of GHG emissions is deemed very useful to measure CO2 emissions in the framework of the EU2020 Strategy, while the solar power potential should be disaggregated at lower levels and compared with other renewable sources in order to support the project identification.

Europe 2020: Inclusive growth – main findings

- The European pattern seems to be primarily dominated by the national level. A west/east gradient can be detected. While German, Dutch and most UK regions share above 70% employment rates, western countries i.e. Belgium, France and Ireland have 70% employment rates below 70%.
- Umbria has a low long-term employment rate similar to Italian and European regions' average. The unemployment rate amounts to 7.2% in the province of Perugia and 4.5% in the province of Terni.
- The employment rate is higher than the Italian average but rather in line with rates of other European regions. In terms of youth unemployment rate the province of Perugia has a value of 23.5%, while the province of Terni 20.2%.

- In terms of demographic dynamics, Umbria is likely to experience a population decrease between 2005 and 2050. This is in line with a majority of Italian regions. Both provinces of Umbria have a high share of population aged over 65 years which is higher than the European average rate.
- With regards to poverty, Umbria's share of population at risk of poverty (12%) is in line with the European average (12%) but below the Italian national average (16%). One of the tools against poverty is education. In the case of Umbria region, 66% of persons aged 25-64 and 20-24 have achieved upper secondary or tertiary education. Furthermore, Umbria shows a value between 20% and 30% for young academics and therefore verges to fall relatively far behind the EU2020 Target.
- With a percentage of 7% of the adults (aged 25 to 64) having attended education and training in 2011, the Umbria region counts amongst the top regions in Italy in this field (the national average being 5.7%). In the past 10 years the region had a positive evolution in this field, in fact doubling the value of this indicator. Still, the region is far from reaching the 15% EU target. Nevertheless, Umbria was slightly above the target value of drop-out rate in 2010 (10%-20%).

The discussion with the programme representatives illustrated that the ESPON results on inclusive growth can be of interest for the needs analysis, thematic concentration and stakeholder dialogue within the programming period or even within single projects. Proposed indicators are considered more difficult to use for project selection and monitoring, since a lower territorial level of analysis and continuous update are required. In particular the indicator on participation of adults in education and training is considered suitable for identifying promising projects, while people with high education and young academics is appraised adequate to be included into dialogues with other stakeholders.

ESPON indicators used by TerrEvi. The table below indicates possible links between the indicators of the ESPON maps on smart, sustainable and inclusive growth presented in this factsheet and the thematic objectives for the next funding period 2014-2020. Linking future thematic objectives and the indicators used by TerrEvi shows that ESPON produces evidence that can be used and support a territorially differentiated development and management of territorial cooperation programmes. In other words, ESPON results can support work linked to achieving territorial cohesion and the implementation of the Europe 2020 strategy.

2014-2020 Thematic Objectives	ESPON indicators used by TerrEvi																									
	Share of R&D infrastructure	Private sector R&D expenditures	R&D distance to national target	Employment in Knowledge-Intensive services	Human resources in science and technology	Territorial patterns of innovation	Private use of e-commerce	ICT employment	Wind power potential	Solar power potential	Combined adaptive capacity to climate change	Potential impact of climate change	Potential vulnerability to climate change	GHG emissions	Employment rate	Long-term unemployment rate	Change in population in 2005-2050	Change in labour force in 2005-2050	Share of old people	People at risk of poverty	People with high education	Young academics	Adults in education and training	Regional early school leavers	Multimodal potential accessibility	Impact of Directive on promotion of use of biofuels
Strengthening research, technological development and innovation	X	X	X		X	X		X		X											X	X	X			
Enhancing access to and use and quality of ICT				X	X		X	X																		
Enhancing the competitiveness of SMEs	X	X				X																				
Supporting the shift towards a low-carbon economy in all sectors									X	X				X												
Promoting climate change adaptation, risk prevention and management											X	X	X													
Protecting the environment and promoting resource efficiency									X	X	X	X	X													X
Promoting sustainable transport and removing bottlenecks in key network infrastructures																								X		
Promoting employment and supporting labour mobility				X	X		X								X	X		X			X	X	X			
Promoting social inclusion and combating poverty																	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		
Investing in education, skills and lifelong learning by developing education and training infrastructure																					X	X	X	X		
Enhancing institutional capacity							X																			

Introduction

ESPON supports policy development in relation to the aim of territorial cohesion and a harmonious development of the European territory. It provides comparable information, evidence, analysis, and scenarios on territorial dynamics, which reveal territorial capital and development potentials of regions and larger territories. Considering the programme area in its European context adds an important new perspective that can help shaping the programming and the places of implementing projects. The ESPON TerrEvi project focuses on producing evidence for Structural Funds programmes with the aim to support the development of the programmes to be carried out in the 2014-2020 period.

In order to support evidence based planning cartographic visualizations serve as an important medium of communication besides the usage of a common language, diagrams, plans or pictures in this document. Maps can attract attention to specific facts and circumstances with spatial impact since information is communicated and procedures are facilitated. In the ESPON Programme the majority of maps contain thematic representation of regional disparities based on indicators, comprised indicators or typologies. They display the actual state of affairs and therefore serve as a basis for comparison, contextualisation and joint action. In this sense, maps reinforce discussing the reality and performing policy action graphically and in a normative way.

One milestone of this work consisted in presenting selected ESPON research pieces in easy-to-understand factsheets for all territorial cooperation programme areas. The aim is to provide the reader with preliminary insight on types of territorial evidence ESPON holds at hand with regard to the possible thematic objectives of future programmes.

([Link to factsheets on ESPON website](#)).

The second milestone concerns ten specific programme case studies illustrating how ESPON material can be used to support the development of future programmes e.g. by giving a comparative European dimension to the envisaged programme work. The aim is to provide the reader with insight on different types of territorial evidence ESPON holds at hand with regard to the possible thematic

objectives of future programmes, and to stimulate a debate on how this evidence can be used by future programmes.

Criteria like the coverage of all regional categories (less developed, transition, more developed regions), the variance of available budgets, the mix between old and new, small and large, central and peripheral Member States or the expression of willingness to cooperate with TerrEvi built the basis for a shortlist of 20 regions for the final selection of case studies by ESPON in an early stage of the project.

The TerrEvi team started to contact these preliminary selected programmes introducing the project and evaluating the possibility being one of the ten pilot cases. As a matter of fact and due to different reasons the final list of pilot cases consists of four regional programmes, one CBC programme and five TNC programmes:

- Molise (regional)
- Umbria (regional)
- Thessalia (regional)
- Norte (regional)
- Slovakia – Austria (CBC)
- North West Europe (TNC)
- North Sea (TNC)
- Alpine Space (TNC)
- Atlantic Area (TNC)
- South East Europe (TNC)

The list of pilot cases has been set up in coordination with the ESPON programme and has been approved by the ESPON Coordination Unit.¹

The present report is one of ten evidence reports which have been produced to build the basis for the work of the case studies. A draft version of the document served as basis for a workshop with the programme in the first quarter of 2013. The workshop highlighted

¹ The detailed selection procedure is part of the Interim Report of the TerrEvi project from December 2012.

the potential use of ESPON material for territorial cooperation programmes.

Following the workshop, this document has been amended taking into account the discussions as well as considerations concerning the usefulness of single indicators in various steps of the programme work.

Umbria region is free to use the material for their development and implementation of the programme for 2014-2020.

Methodology

For this evidence report the TerrEvi project team conducted a workshop with the relevant stakeholders in charge for programming. In the following the workshop methodology is explained enabling readers of this paper to understand how the information has been collected.

Furthermore a User's Guide for the traffic lights in the Europe 2020 chapter of the evidence report is part of this methodology section.

Workshops

The work on the Evidence Reports was organised in three main steps.

Step 1 – Preparation Phase

After the preliminary contacts made in summer 2012, the team contacted the Programme Authority, (by email) illustrating:

- the ESPON TerrEvi project and the organisation of the team;
- the reason why the area has been appointed to be a pilot case for ESPON 'Territorial Evidence Packs';
- the main steps of the case study activity.

Once the contacts have been established and the framework of the case study fixed, the project team prepares the set for the case study. More specifically the project team:

- sent the Factsheet to the authorities;
- presented a more detailed timetable and some draft contents for the workshop;
- discussed the process of the case study with the participants;
- started the organisation of the workshop.

Step 2 - Draft Evidence report, workshop and final Evidence report

Following the preliminary phase, the Draft Evidence Report was delivered to the programme authority. It entailed several indicators and highlighted territorial trends with a European perspective. All

thematic objectives were covered and there has been a table to match our selected indicators with the thematic objectives. The Draft Evidence Report has been sent to the workshop participants for diffusion.

The participants consisted in general of persons in charge for the programming (MA, JTS, external experts). The TerrEvi team addressed in the workshop five relevant programming stages:

- Needs Analysis
- Thematic Concentration
- Result Indicators
- Project Selection
- Stakeholder consultation

Following these stages as a basis the workshop had the structure below:

- Introduction (presenting the set of indicators)
- Relevance of indicators
 - The participants discussed together with the TerrEvi team how relevant/important the presented indicators are at which programming stage. This procedure was done three times, for the indicators in Smart, Sustainable and Inclusive growth separately.
- Discussion about issues of particular interest for the programme.
- Conclusion of the workshop covering the issues:
 - Where does your programme have use of ESPON? (to strengthen the territorial dimension / make your life easier)
 - What could ESPON do to be useful in future? (incl. relevance and availability of information)
 - Territorial dimension & structures (programme area in Europe, diversity within the programme area).

The results were collected by the TerrEvi team and fed into the draft evidence report (Results and feedback from the workshop).

Step 3 – Feedback

Every programme received a draft version of the final evidence report comprising the workshop results in order to verify if the contents of the ESPON Evidence Report have been used comparing with the expectations collected in the workshop.

Traffic lights for the programme area indicators: User's Guide

The traffic lights at the beginning of the chapter 'Europe 2020' were created in order to graphically represent the situation of each analysed Programme² Area compared to the ones of EU27+4 space, to the rest of programme areas, and finally to the country of the area.

The median value, calculated depending on the values registered for every NUTS 2/NUTS 3 region composing the programme area was used as the central value indicator. The median of the programme area was compared successively to the ones computed for EU27+4 territories, for the rest of the Programme areas and, ultimately, with those for the country involved in the Programme Area.

Interval thresholds were obtained by calculating the arithmetic mean between the median and the values of the first (Q1) and third (Q3) quartiles. These calculations defined the lower (L1) and upper limits (L2) of each interval.


Therefore, we have three distinctive situations:

1. When the median value of the Programme is below L1, there will be a red traffic light indicating problems inside the Programme Area (or green traffic light if there is a noticeable progress: i.e. long-term unemployment).

² This User's Guide was developed for the CBC area factsheets. The methodology also for TNC or regional programmes compared to the relevant national level(s) remains the same.

2. When the median value of the Programme area is between the lower and the upper thresholds, there will be a yellow traffic light marking a similar situation of the area to the rest of the spatial structures.

3. When the median value of the Programme area is over L2, a green traffic light will be displayed (or red traffic light when there is a negative trend: i.e. potential vulnerability to climate change).



Choosing median as central value requires a special attention in analysing the traffic lights when the number of NUTS 2/NUTS 3 regions is below 7. Using percentiles implies also that the final result is highly dependent on the type of statistical distribution. This should be considered as well when establishing the relative situation of a Programme area compared to a specific country.

Context information

The main focus of this report is on a discussion how the North Sea Region stands with relation to the three objectives of Europe 2020 (smart, sustainable and inclusive growth), the thematic objectives and the investment priorities of future Structural Funds. This discussion may help the programme to see comparative advantage of the programme area which possible could be further strengthened with help of the next North Sea Region programme. Alternatively, one might also be able to detect comparative disadvantage (as compared to the rest of Europe) which the future programme might help to reduce.

However, before entering this debate, the focus will be on two important context indicators. These are population change and GDP per capita.

Demographic change and economic performance are important aspects which also will be discussed in relation to a series of other indicators throughout the report. Consequently, the first two maps are mainly meant to set the scene and provide a general understanding of the situation.

Population change


Population change is the difference in the size of a population in a given time period. The change has two components: natural population change, i.e. number of live births minus number of deaths, and net migration, i.e. number of immigrants minus number of emigrants. The map covers the period 2001 to 2010.

It is striking that areas of population growth and those of significant population decrease are often close to each other. At European level, population is particularly growing in a Mediterranean arc ranging from central Italy over southern France to eastern and southern Spain, and in an Atlantic Arc ranging from western France to Ireland and Iceland. In addition, population grows in some regions of Nordic countries and in all capital regions. Significant population decrease can be found in north-western Spain, several Portuguese regions, eastern and central Germany, the Baltic states, some peripheral regions of Finland and south-eastern Europe – except their capital regions.

Within Umbria region, one can notice that both provinces recorded for the considered period demographic growth. This was more evident in the province of Perugia (+10.59%), where is also located the main urban centre of the region and less pronounced for the province of Terni (+6.50%).

The map shows population change until 2010. One has to take into consideration that the economic crisis might have influenced and changed migration patterns since then.

This map was originally prepared for the ESPON DEMIFER project and re-produced for the ESPON TerrEvi project.




Map 1 Population change, 2001-2010

GDP in PPS per capita

GDP (Purchasing Power Standard) per capita is an important indicator for the level of economic competitiveness. At EU level, the territorial distribution of GDP respects the principle of spatial autocorrelation, few deviations from the rule being generated either by the presence of competitive urban centres or by the border effect. The map regards 2009.

The most significant difference in GDP per capita appears between former EU15 states and the Member States which became part of the EU 2004 and 2007. But there are also regions with comparably low GDP in EU15, for example in Greece, Southern Italy, Portugal or the UK. Additionally, the map shows that in many states wealthy regions are far from their capital regions (Munich, Salzburg, Álava, Milano) and that even areas of the European periphery are among economic strong regions (northern and central Sweden, Aberdeen, south-western Ireland for example).

The GDP values of the two NUTS 3 regions composing Umbria, i.e. the provinces of Perugia and Terni, correspond to values identified in the rest of Central Italy. Most regions in Old European Member State, outside capital and main cities, show similar figures.



Map 2 GDP (PPS), 2009

1 Europe 2020

Europe, with its member states and their regions, is more exposed to global shocks and international competition than at any time before. As the world becomes more interdependent this trend will continue and shape policy thinking across sectors, borders and geographical scales. At the same time, Europe is characterised by a large territorial diversity meaning that global developments can imply rather different development possibilities and challenges for different European regions and cities.

The differences are partly defined by major geographical structures such as urban systems, access and connectivity, the geographical specificity or population density. At the same time, the differences are also spelled out in the larger development trends that affect an area and the way and degree to which it is affected.

The data, indicators and territorial evidence provided by ESPON provide insight on both the main structures and larger territorial trends. The fine art is to identify what can actually be influenced by policy-making and, in particular, by place-based policy and territorial cooperation related to your programme area.

This chapter provides a selection of ESPON data related to Europe 2020 objectives of smart, sustainable and inclusive growth, giving also hints as regards the main thematic objectives envisaged in the draft regulations for the next period of EU Cohesion Policy. The Europe 2020 Strategy aims to enhance smart, sustainable and inclusive growth. This strategy has clear territorial dimensions. However, achieving these goals is challenging in the crisis-driven times. Furthermore, the economic disparities are growing as economic trends and the crisis have various impacts on different parts of Europe.

In the following the traffic lights for selected indicators represent how your programme territory compares to wider European medians where green = your programme area performs better for that indicator, yellow = similar, and red = worse.

The traffic lights were created in order to graphically represent the situation of the programme area compared to the one of the EU-27+4 space. The median value, calculated depending on the values

registered for every NUTS 2 / NUTS 3 region composing the programme area was used as the central value indicator. The median of the programme area was compared to the one computed for EU-27+4 territory.

EU 27+4 in traffic lights means the EU Member States as well as Iceland, Liechtenstein, Norway and Switzerland – the ESPON space.

Smart growth refers to developing an economy based on knowledge and innovation. In the framework of the Europe 2020 Strategy it means improving the EU's performance in education, research/innovation and digital society.

Sustainable growth refers to promoting a more resource efficient, greener and more competitive economy. Within the Europe 2020 Strategy it means e.g. building a more competitive low-carbon economy that makes efficient, sustainable use of resources, protecting the environment, reducing emissions and preventing biodiversity loss, capitalising on Europe's leadership in developing new green technologies and production methods, and introducing efficient smart electricity grids. In the framework of the Europe 2020 Strategy it means focus on competitiveness, resource efficiency, climate change and biodiversity.

Inclusive growth refers to fostering a high-employment economy delivering social and territorial cohesion. Within the Europe 2020 Strategy it means raising Europe's employment rate, helping people of all ages anticipate and manage change through investment in skills & training, modernising labour markets and welfare systems, and ensuring the benefits of growth reach all parts of the EU. In short the key factors are employment and avoiding risk of poverty and social exclusion.

In the following sections more detailed indicators related to smart, sustainable and inclusive growth will be discussed. The related maps will help to get a more nuanced picture on how Umbria stands as compared to the rest of Europe and also show the regional territorial diversity.

1.1 Smart Growth

Smart growth is a key component of the Europe 2020 Strategy. During the past years it has evolved into an objective for many European sector policies as well as for a wide range of national policies all over Europe. Structural Funds Programmes are expected to make a sizable contribution to smart growth.

Broadly smart growth means improving Europe's economic performance by focusing on research and innovation, the digital society and the competitiveness of SMEs and a range of different sectors. The focus is on creating new and better products and service – not at least by diffusing information and communication technologies – that generate economic growth and jobs. So, it is tightly linked to performance in the education field and the concept of green growth, i.e. the aim to shift the pattern of economic growth towards an environmentally-friendly one.

The contribution which any city or region can make to these aims depends on a wide range of territorially varying preconditions. This territorial diversity is an important asset to achieving smart growth. At the same time, work towards smart growth will have territorial impacts, which lay the ground for changing development opportunities in different types of territories. This section discusses some of territorial variations that shape the pre-conditions to contribute to smart growth, and their effects.

Smart growth is tightly linked to the economic performance of private enterprises in a globalised world. Some years ago, globalisation was seen as the end of geography. Today it is clear that there are simultaneous processes of regionalisation and globalisation. Distance and agglomeration economies have become even more central through increasing financial, trade, human and knowledge flows. This results in two decisive functional scales for globalisation: city and macro-region.

In addition to the indicators and maps displayed in the following, there are also other ESPON maps which can be of interest. There is e.g. a map on broadband penetration, which has not been taken into this report as it is based on data from 2006 to 2009.

The indicators used for smart growth show red and yellow traffic lights for Umbria. Regarding employment in knowledge intensive services, the region has lower values compared to both Italy and the EU27+4 area while regarding individuals regularly using internet values are similar to Italy but still lower than the EU27+4 area's ones. Only with regards to intramural R&D expenditures, the Programme area has similar values compared to Italy and the EU27+4 area.

	value of the region	Italy	EU-27+4
Total Intramural R&D Expenditure (GERD). Percentage of the GDP (2009)	0.99	0.99 	1.22 
Employment in knowledge-intensive services as percentage of total employment (2010)	31	33 	39 
Percentage of individuals regularly using internet (2011)	52	53 	71 

*The value in front of each traffic-light represents the median value of the country and of the EU-27+4 space.
Thresholds for detecting disparities using the variation coefficient: low < 15%, medium 15 - 30%, high > 30%
Regional level of analysis: NUTS 2
Origin of data: EUROSTAT 2012*


Total intramural R&D expenditure

The total gross domestic expenditure on research and development comprises: business enterprise expenditure on R&D, higher education expenditure on R&D, government expenditure on R&D and private non-profit sector expenditure on R&D. The indicator measures the key R&D investments that support future competitiveness and result in higher GDP R&D expenditure represents one of the major drivers of economic growth in a knowledge-based economy. Investing 3% of GDP is therefore one of the headline targets in the new Europe 2020 strategy for developing an economy based on knowledge and innovation (EUROSTAT 2010).

The shares of R&D expenditure on GDP seem to generally differ within Europe. A higher share affects the Nordic countries (especially Finland) and the 'pentagon' area (London-Hamburg-Munich-Milano-Paris). No Southern or (South-)Eastern (EU12) European region spends more than 3% of GDP for R&D. Especially Bulgaria and Romania are shaped by R&D expenditure rates below 0.50%. The share generally decreases from northern to southern regions. Still, sub-national differences predominantly occur, depending on local and regional specializations, governance, public or private participation to R&D etc. In southern France and southern UK for example, the share in neighbouring regions varies from 0.51 to more than 3%.

Total intramural R&D expenditures as a percentage of GDP in Umbria are amongst the lowest in Europe but they are not far from the Italian average. Umbria region, as well as most of the central-eastern and southern Italy has lower shares of R&D expenditure, compared to western and northern Italy. At national level, Umbria's position is in the middle of the NUTS2 hierarchy (with value similar to the national median – 0.99%). At EU level, this region's rank is quite low, compared with the EU average (1.56%).

This map was originally produced for the ESPON KIT project and re-produced for the ESPON TerrEvi project.



Map 3 Share of R&D expenditure of GDP, 2009


Private sector R&D expenditures

Within the overall target of an R&D intensity of 3%, the contribution of the private sector to R&D expenditures is crucial. Private sector investment is considered central to enhancing economic productivity and growth. Therefore, flagship actions focus on creating favourable conditions for private sector investments like measures on access to finance, risk-sharing, the provision of venture capital, cross-border matching of innovative firms with investors, a review of regulatory frameworks and the development of a European knowledge market for patents and licensing.

Three corridors with comparatively high shares stand out: From southern France to southern Germany, from Copenhagen to Finland and from south-east England to Austria. In addition, an east-west divide occurs. Except Prague and Ljubljana no region of the new member states indicates shares of > 1%. As for total R&D expenditure, sub-national disparities become apparent especially between urban centres and their rural surrounding, for example in Aberdeen, Berlin or Madrid.

Umbria has amongst the lowest rates of business expenditure on R&D. Indeed, private R&D expenditures in 2007-2009 were evaluated at less than 0.5% of regional GDP. The overall pattern is quite similar to the pattern for total R&D expenditures. A low share of public R&D investments seems to be not capable of balancing maladjustments of business expenditures on R&D.

This map was originally prepared for the ESPON SIESTA project and reproduced for the ESPON TerrEvi project.



Map 4 Business expenditure on R&D as percentage of regional GDP, combined years from 2007 to 2009


R&D distance to the 2020 national targets

The indicator measures the distance in percentage of GDP invested in R&D in relation to National Target (%).


Although an overall target of 3% of GDP has been set for the European territorial area as a whole, national targets vary significantly from a low of 0.5% in Cyprus to a high of 4% for Sweden and Finland, countries that are already investing heavily in R&D activities. In a European perspective, only a few regions have met their national target (green regions on the map). Best performing regions compared with national target are Brabant Wallon in Belgium, Braunschweig, Stuttgart, Oberbayern and Dresden in Germany, Hovedstaden in Denmark, Pohjois-Suomi in Finland, Střední Čechy and Praha in Czech Republic and Midi-Pyrénées in France.

In the global European picture, Umbria can be qualified a median performing region. As most Italian regions, Umbria is slightly below the Italian target of 1.53% of GDP invested in R&D.

This map was prepared for the ESPON SIESTA project and reproduced for the ESPON TerrEvi project.



Distance in percentage of GDP invested in R&D in relation to National Targets (%)



Map 5 R&D distance to national target

Employment in Knowledge-Intensive Services


Knowledge-intensive services comprise a broad set of very different activities. Innovation processes, structures, and performance differ notably among these services. According to EUROSTAT, they include:

- (1) knowledge-intensive high-tech services: post and telecommunications; computer and related activities; R&D;
- (2) knowledge-intensive market services (excluding financial intermediation and high-tech services): water transport; Air transport; real estate activities; renting of machinery and equipment without operator, and of personal and household goods;
- (3) knowledge-intensive financial services: financial intermediation, except insurance and pension funding; insurance and pension funding, except compulsory social security; Activities auxiliary to financial intermediation;
- (4) other KIS: education; health and social work; recreational, cultural and sporting activities.

A North-South gradient can be observed for the territorial variation in the share of employment in Knowledge-Intensive Services. Northern regions (Ireland, the UK, France, as well as the Nordic countries and Germany) are better endowed for this type of human capital, while Southern and Eastern Europe (which includes Spain (except Madrid region) and Portugal) have shares below the EU average. Capital regions do in general have a higher share of KIS than the surrounding regions. Still, infra-national differences occur, as a result of local combinations of factors.

The whole eastern coast of Italy as well as central-northern regions, including Umbria, have lower shares of employment knowledge than the EU median (38.99 %) and the EU average values (38.59%). The low values in Umbria are mainly linked to local specialisation (agriculture, industry) and the lack of major urban and research centres at a European scale. In Umbria, employment in knowledge-intensive services represents between 26.1% and 34% of the total employment. The region performs according to the majority of the Italian region but slightly underperforms compared to most regions of north-western Europe.

This map was produced for the ESPON TerrEvi project.



Map 6 Employment in Knowledge-Intensive Services, 2010

Human resources in science and technology

Human resources in science and technology (HRST) are defined, according to EUROSTAT, as persons fulfilling at least one of the following two conditions:


- (1) human resources in terms of education: individuals who have successfully completed a university level education;
- (2) human resources in terms of occupation: individuals who are employed in a science and technology occupation as 'Professionals' or 'Technicians and associate professionals'.

The group that fulfils both of these criteria is called the 'HRST core'.


There is a concentration of scientists and technologists in Northwest Europe as well as in the Nordic and in the Baltic countries. Most capital cities employ > 35% of human resources in science and technology. On the other side, large parts of Eastern and Southern Europe (except northern Spain and most capital regions), indicate shares < 35%. Most regions in Romania, Bulgaria, Turkey and Portugal even show shares < 25%.

Umbria region shows a share between 25 and 35% as the Italian average.

This map was prepared for the ESPON SIESTA project and reproduced for the ESPON TerrEvi project.



Human resources in science and technology as percentage of active population, 2010



Map 7 Human resources in science and technology as percentage of regional active population, 2010

Territorial Patterns of Innovation


Territorial patterns of innovation are made of a combination of territorial specificities (context conditions) that are behind different modes of performing the different phases of the innovation process.³ Main conditions concern science-based knowledge, R&D endowment, human capital, receptivity to interpret and use external knowledge and creativity.

The regional typology developed by the KIT project identifies several patterns of innovation. 'European science-based areas' are most knowledge and innovation intensive but seem to be less attractive and creative. While 'Applied science areas' have the chance to specialise themselves in the production of applied knowledge, 'Smart technological application areas' focus on product innovation. Innovation capacity in 'Smart and creative diversification areas' is fed by external knowledge which is embedded in technical and organisational capabilities. 'Imitative innovation areas' can build on local preconditions like creativity and especially attractiveness in order to embrace new adoption, imitation and innovation strategies.

Umbria region is qualified as a 'smart and creative diversification area'. According to the study, this pattern is *characterised* by a low degree of local diversified applied knowledge, internal innovation capacity, high degree of local competences, high degree of creativity and entrepreneurship, external knowledge embedded in technical and organisational capabilities.

A large majority of Central Italian regions are qualified the same way. In Europe, this pattern is common in many Mediterranean Member States i.e. France, Portugal, Spain and Greece.

This map was prepared for the ESPON KIT project and reproduced for the ESPON TerrEvi project.



Territorial Patterns of Innovation



Map 8 Territorial Patterns of Innovation, 2011

³ For the list and the methodology for the identification of territorial patterns of innovation, see chapter 2 in Vol. 1 of the Scientific Report of the ESPON KIT project.


Private use of e-commerce

E-commerce is closely related to the uneven access of households and enterprises to internet facilities. This links e-commerce with the so-called digital divide. The map shows the share of individuals (aged 16 to 74 years) who ordered goods or services over the internet for private use. In doing so, the map also shows whether the internet is being used for commercial purposes, i.e. how it is effectively penetrating in businesses across Europe. The map shows information for 2010.

As the maps shows, the use of e-commerce differs mainly between countries and only to a limited degree between regions within a country. Overall, there is quite a clear divide between East and West and between North and South, with the North-West of Europe being more advanced. In the UK, Norway, Sweden, Germany or other countries where the internet is widely accepted and used, only limited regional variations can be observed. At the same time, e-commerce exploitation is low across the regions of the Mediterranean countries, Portugal and large parts of Eastern Europe. In these countries, even in capital cities and large metropolitan regions, e-commerce is not widely utilised.

The map confirms a similar result to the indicator reporting the use of internet (see the traffic lights above). Umbria performs under the European average and has one of the lowest values (<15%). This value is similar to most central and southern Italian regions, as well as Portugal, Greece, Bulgaria and Romania.

This map was originally prepared for the ESPON SIESTA project and reproduced for the ESPON TerrEvi project.



E-commerce or individuals (aged 16 to 74) who ordered goods or services over the Internet for private use as a percentage, 2010



Notes (according to ESPON SIESTA):
 DE, FR, EL, RS and PL are shown at NUTS1 level.
 TR is shown at country level and data for this country were provided by Turkstat.
 Data for CH was provided by Swiss Statistics web site.
 Data for BH11, BG12, BG13, BG21, BG22, BG23, and FI2 are not available for 2010, the regions are shown for 2011.
 TR data corresponds to 2011.
 UAE1 data are not available for 2010 and are shown for 2009.

Map 9 Private use of e-commerce, 2010


ICT employment

The Information and Communication Technologies (ICT) sector accounts for a substantial part of European GDP, employment and R&D expenditures. It is a highly R&D intensive sector. As general purpose technologies, ICT goods and services are important drivers of productivity growth and economic performance across all sectors.

The distribution of ICT employment is highly uneven across Europe. In general, capital regions stand out as those regions showing highest values, i.e. > 5%. On the other hand, rural areas and mainly those in Eastern and Southern Europe tend to lag behind. Therefore, a general rural-urban divide is evident. Regions with shares of < 1% are concentrated in Portugal, Turkey, Greece and Romania. These regions verge of falling behind and constitute an important territorial challenge which requires initiatives promoting ICT technologies and focussing on peripheral areas.

Umbria region has one of the smallest shares (1-2%) across Europe, in line with Italian average. As ICT employment is highly sensitive to rural-urban divide and Umbria being not densely populated, its performance is not surprising.

This map was prepared for the ESPON SIESTA & M4D projects and reproduced for the ESPON TerrEvi project.



Share of employed persons in the information and communication sector, 2012



Map 10 People working in the ICT sector as percentage of total regional employment 2011

Results and feedback from the workshop

In general, indicators provided by ESPON projects and presented within the evidence package are considered useful for Umbria Region. However, some aspects may limit the usefulness. They might provide opportunities to further improve the presented indicators.

First of all, some indicators seem to be dated, due to the fact that either the projects were conducted a few years ago, or the data sets are rather old. The main reason is that it takes some time to collect and harmonise data sets at European level.

Another factor that may hamper an indicator's usefulness, concerns the territorial level at which it is calculated and presented. To use data on territorial patterns of innovation for example, NUTS2 regions might give a description of the European pattern. Yet, it would be desirable if it were more specific and detailed – both regarding content and regarding the territorial scale. Again, an indicator on Intramural R&D expenditures would have been more useful displayed at a lower level and taking into account the presence of innovation/research hotspots.

A third factor regards the complexity of indicators. Composite indicators that combine several and very different dimensions and sub-indicators can be difficult to understand in policy processes.

Programming steps

Focussing on details concerning the five programming steps, the reviewed indicators are deemed relevant for the needs analysis. When it comes to the programming steps 'thematic concentration' and 'stakeholder dialogue' the indicator on territorial pattern of innovation is considered suitable. Nevertheless, proposed indicators on smart growth are difficult to use for monitoring changes within the new programming period and for identifying promising projects.

Further suggestions

Intramural R&D expenditure	- decompose into private and public share - decompose by sector
Knowledge-intensive services	- split by different economic sectors
Territorial patterns of innovation	- disaggregate
Private use of e-commerce	- add ICT employment

1.2 Sustainable Growth


Sustainable growth refers to promoting a more resource efficient, greener and more competitive economy. Within the Europe 2020 Strategy it means e.g. building a more competitive low-carbon economy that makes efficient, sustainable use of resources, protecting the environment, reducing emissions and preventing biodiversity loss, capitalising on Europe's leadership in developing new green technologies and production methods, and introducing efficient smart electricity grids. In the framework of the Europe 2020 Strategy it means focus on competitiveness, resource efficiency, climate change and biodiversity.

The EU's 2020 sustainable growth headline targets are neatly expressed in the "20/20/20" formula. It stands for a 20% reduction in greenhouse gas emissions compared to 1990 levels; plus 20% of our energy to come from renewable resources, and finally a 20% increase in energy efficiency. Each country then sets its own targets within these.

The Europe 2020 strategy sees sustainable growth not purely as environmental protection. Rather the aim is to look for ways that growth can be both in harmony with the environment, but also less vulnerable in the future to the kind of economic crises that have so damaged economies since 2007/2008. We need to understand, and act upon, the ecological and environmental drivers of competitiveness and cohesion.

While focussing on global challenges such as climate change, sustainable growth ultimately depends on place-based actions. The territorial perspective is again to refine understanding of what kind of interventions in what places can steer regions and Europe as a whole onto the path to a green economy and sustainable growth.

Umbria's values for the sustainable growth indicators 'wind energy potential' and 'potential vulnerability to climate change' are lower than the EU27+4 area. In contrast, the traffic light system shows that the region is less concerned by ozone concentration than both Italy and the EU27+4 area.




Wind power potential

The use of wind energy potential could be one of the cornerstones in building a competitive low-carbon economy in the EU. Yet, wind power potential does not only highlight the regions with high wind speeds but with the greatest wind power potential, i.e. it takes the size of large areas into account. The map takes into account some, mainly environmental, restrictions which limit the possibility to put up wind farms. Following EEA's recommendations, Natura 2000 areas are excluded in order to calculate constrained potentials. Although it is not illegal to site wind farms in these areas, they provide a proxy for the restrictions implied by biodiversity protection. Hence, the map indicates how much energy might be feasible in practical terms.


The greatest potentials for wind power are located in Sweden, Finland and the Baltic states but also in northern Norway, Scotland and Ireland. Beside the Baltic Sea (incl. Barents Sea), the regions located at the Atlantic Ocean hold greatest potentials for using wind power. In contrast, Mediterranean regions and those close to the North Sea, like the Netherlands or Belgium, have comparatively low values despite their coastal geographic position.

The indicator shows a very low wind power potential for the region.

This map was originally prepared for the ESPON ReRisk project and reproduced for the ESPON TerrEvi project.



Wind Power Potentials
(Measured in km/s and considering the area of NUTS2 regions in km)



Map 11 Wind power potentials


Solar power potential

The data refers to the yearly total of estimated solar electricity generation (for horizontal, vertical, optimally-inclined planes) in kilowatt hour (kWh) within the built environment. These types of installations will be the first to become competitive at end-use level with electricity obtained from the central grid, with estimates from the International Energy Agency pointing to 2020 as break-even point in the regions with the highest potential.


Looking at the map of Europe, a large proportion of regions show a high potential for creating renewable energy solar power. The map of solar energy potential shows the regional potential for electricity production from solar panels. The regions with greatest potential are located in the south and east of Europe. The core area of Europe is in general terms showing less potential, while the main potential for solar power lies at the periphery of the European territory.

In a European perspective, Umbria has one of the highest potential from photovoltaic panels. It should be pointed out that the above pattern is not only dependant on climate, but also on the degree of urban development.

This map was originally prepared for the ESPON ReRisk project and reproduced for the ESPON TerrEvi project.



Solar Power Potentials (output of 1 kW/h system at optimum mounting angle)



Map 12 Solar power potential

Combined adaptive capacity to climate change



Adaptive capacity to climate change takes into account the economic, socio-cultural, institutional and technological ability of a region to adapt to the impacts of a changing regional climate. This could mean both preventing and/or moderating potential damages, and taking advantage of new opportunities opened up by climate change. A total of 15 indicators were developed and then aggregated to reflect on the five adaptation dimensions. The overall adaptive capacity was calculated by combining these dimensions.

Indicator	Dimension	Weighting
Educational commitment	Knowledge and awareness	23%
Computer skills		
Attitudes towards climate change		
Resources for technology	Technology	23%
Capacity to undertake research		
Patents	Infrastructure	16%
Transport		
Water infrastructure		
Health	Institutions	17%
Government effectiveness		
NAS		
Democracy	Economic resources	21%
Income per capita		
Age dependency		
Unemployment		

Firstly, a difference in adaptive capacity can be distinguished between Northern and Southern Europe. Overall, Nordic countries have the highest capacity. A second group with regional disparities but still dominated by regions with high and medium capacity encompasses Germany, France, the UK, Austria and the Benelux countries. In Eastern European and Southern European countries with low and lowest capacity, capital city and urban regions generally hold higher capacity than other regions within the country.

The region, as most southern Italian and south eastern European regions, has the lowest adaptive capacity. This means that in the long run Umbria foreseen capacity to be able to adjust to the new climate stimuli are very limited.


This map was originally introduced for the ESPON Climate project and was produce with this layout for the ESPON TerrEvi project.



Map 13 Combined adaptive capacity to climate change

Potential impact of climate change


The map of potential impact combines 10 indicators of 'exposure' to climate change with 5 dimensions of 'sensitivity' to climate change.



Taking into account certain exceptions like the Netherlands or Ireland, especially Southern regions will face highest impacts, i.e. those regions which only show low and lowest adaptive capacities.

Italy is clearly negatively impacted and Umbria shows medium negative impact (light red on the map).

This map was originally prepared for the ESPON Climate project and reproduced for the ESPON TerrEvi project. See the Final Report of ESPON Climate project for maps on 'exposure' and 'sensitivity' indicators.



Aggregate impact of climate change



Map 14 Aggregate potential impact of climate change


Potential vulnerability to climate change

In order to determine the overall vulnerability of regions to climate change, the impacts and the adaptive capacity to climate change were combined for each region. The underlying rationale is that a region with a high climate change impact may only be moderately vulnerable if it is well adapted to the anticipated climatic changes. On the other hand, high impacts would result in high vulnerability to climate change if a region also has a low adaptive capacity⁴.

The south-north gradient which was already visible on the adaptive capacity and the potential impact map is now much more obvious. This is due to the considerable adaptive capacity of Scandinavia and Western European countries. Furthermore, those countries which only have less adaptive capacity, have to expect a medium to high increase in impacts. In consequence, a medium to high increase of vulnerability may be expected in the Mediterranean countries and in South-East Europe. This scenario runs counter to territorial cohesion because climate change would trigger a deepening of the existing socio-economic imbalances between the core of Europe and its southern and south-eastern periphery.

Umbria region shows medium negative impact (light red on the map). The level of vulnerability is higher than both the European and the Italian average.

This map was originally introduced for the ESPON Climate project and was produced with this layout for the ESPON TerrEvi project.



Potential Vulnerability to Climate Change



Map 15 Potential vulnerability to climate change

⁴ http://www.espon.eu/main/Menu_Publications/Menu_MapsOfTheMonth/map1201.html

Air pollution


This indicator shows GHG emissions expressed in CO2 equivalents. It is assumed that regional GHG emissions follow the regional distribution of final energy consumption which is further exemplified using population and GVA data at regional level. Datasets only indicate where consumers buy their fuel without acknowledging where this fuel is emitted. Therefore, one of the most important caveats concerns the cross-border effects related to GHG emissions in the transport sector.

GHG emissions play an important role in the context of climate change. Sustainable growth includes the promotion of low-carbon, resource-efficient and competitive economy. In this context, the reduction of CO2 emissions by 20 per cent as compared to the 1990 levels is on the headline targets of the Europe 2020 strategy.

Metropolitan areas in Europe are the main responsible for GHG emissions. Consequently, these areas play a crucial role for achieving the sustainable growth and GHG emissions goals set in the Europe 2020 strategy. Rural and less densely populated areas tend to have lower levels of GHG emissions. However, in some rural areas in Turkey, for instance, levels of GHG emissions are similar to those observed in urban areas. The urban-rural difference is largely explained by the fact that one of the variables used in the model is population.

In Umbria, while the province of Terni has one of the lowest values in Europe, the province of Perugia has a slightly higher value.

This map was originally prepared for the SIESTA project and reproduced for the ESPON TerrEvi project.



Estimated GHG emissions (Gg CO2 equivalent), 2008



Notes (according to SIESTA Project):
 Data for TR is shown at NUTS2 level.
 GHG data excluding land use, land use change and forestry (LULUCF).
 Derived from UNFCCC GHG data at national level and allocated to NUTS3 areas in relation to population and gross value added.
 Population data for Italy is for 2007.

Map 16 GHG emissions, 2008

Results and feedback from the workshop

In general, indicators provided by ESPON projects and presented within the evidence package are considered useful for Umbria. However, some aspects may limit the usefulness. They might provide opportunities to further improve the presented indicators.

First of all, some indicators seem to be dated, due to the fact that either the projects were conducted a few years ago, or the data sets are rather old. The main reason is that it takes some time to collect and harmonise data sets at European level.

Another factor that may hamper an indicator's usefulness, concerns the territorial level at which it is calculated and presented. To use data on solar power potentials, NUTS2 might give a description of the European pattern. Yet, it would be desirable if it were more specific and detailed regarding the territorial scale because potentials could differ considerably within the considered areas.

A third factor regards the complexity of indicators. Composite indicators that combine several and very different dimensions and sub-indicators can be difficult to understand in policy processes. Potential vulnerability to climate change, for example, combines adaptive capacity with impacts of climate change. On the other hand, these sub-indicators are also complex composite indicators.

Programming steps

Focussing on details concerning the five programming steps, the reviewed indicators are relevant for the needs analysis and stakeholder dialogue. On the other hand, the indicators provided by ESPON projects are considered partially useful for monitoring changes, thematic concentration and for identifying promising projects within the new programme.

Further suggestions

Solar power potential	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- to be compared at different scale- to be compared with other renewable sources
GHG emissions	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- useful to measure CO2 emissions in the framework of the EU2020 Strategy
Combined adaptive capacity to climate change	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- maps on single indicators and dimensions necessary to understand this composite indicator (available in the Climate Report)
Potential vulnerability to climate change	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- maps on single indicators and dimensions necessary to understand this composite indicator (available in the Climate Report)

1.3 Inclusive Growth

Inclusive growth is an important dimension of the Europe 2020 Strategy. Inclusive growth focuses both on the pace and pattern of growth and it brings together two aspects which usually have been dealt with separately in policy-making and research: poverty and growth.

Following the World Bank, inclusive growth analytics is about policies that should be implemented in the short run, but for sustainable inclusive growth in the future. In this perspective territorial evidence can be used to analyse at a regional or city level the sources, and constraints to sustained, high growth, and not only on one group – the poor. The territorial evidence allows looking for ways to raise the pace of growth by utilising more fully parts of the labour force trapped in low-productivity activities or completely excluded from the growth process.

The main policy instruments for inclusive growth are seen in the field of productive employment. In other words, inclusive growth means raising Europe’s employment rate by creating more and better jobs, especially for women, young people and older workers, by helping people of all ages anticipate and manage change through investment in skills & training, and by modernising labour markets and welfare systems ensuring the benefits of growth reach all parts of the EU.

Growth is highly dependent on levels of income, poverty, and asset inequality, but also geography, demography, governance, politics, social considerations, and the set of existing policies. These differ not only between countries, but also over time within the same country.

In territorial terms, this raises important question as to the mobility of labour force and regional difference of the labour force, as well as regional differences in related to poverty and education levels and the infrastructure and mechanisms to overcome challenges and help individuals to escape poverty and benefit from lifelong learning increasing their prospects on the labour market.

With regards to inclusive growth, Umbria has long-term-unemployment rates similar to both the EU27+4 area and Italy, at-risk-of-poverty rate similar to Italy and slightly lower than EU27+4 area. Regarding persons aged 25-64 and 20-24 with upper secondary or tertiary education attainment, rate is higher than Italy but lower than the EU27+4 area.

	value of the region	Italy	EU-27+4
Long-term unemployment rate (12 months and more) - 2011	2.7	3.0 	3.0 
At-risk-of-poverty rate - 2011	12	12 	16 
Persons aged 25-64 and 20-24 with upper secondary or tertiary education attainment (%) - 2011	66	58 	76 

The value in front of each traffic-light represents the median value of the country and of the EU-27+4 space.
 Thresholds for detecting disparities using the variation coefficient: low < 15%, medium 15 - 30%, high > 30%
 Regional level of analysis: NUTS 2
 Origin of data: EUROSTAT 2012


Long-term unemployment rate

Long-term unemployment rate refers to the number of people who are out of work and have been actively seeking employment for at least a year in 2011. An unemployed person is defined as being aged 15 to 74 (or aged 16 to 74 in Spain, the United Kingdom, Iceland and Norway) who was without work during the reference week, was currently available for work and was either actively seeking work in the last four weeks or had already found a job to start within the next three months. The unemployment period is defined as the duration of a job search or as the length of time since the last job was held (if shorter than the time spent on a job search) (Eurostat).

There are specific countries in Europe that have all their regions with high long-term unemployment rates like Ireland, the Baltic States, Slovakia (except Bratislava), Croatia (except Zagreb) and Greece. Other states from Southern and South-eastern Europe like Italy, Spain or Romania have large areas with high unemployment rates, i.e. more than 7 %. Most parts of Europe have medium rates (1-4 %) although some regions with structural problems from Central Europe like East Germany, southern Belgium or Northern France, or from the periphery like Romania, Portugal and Spain stand out (4-7 %). On the other side, mainly regions from Austria and Southern Germany, and Norway as a non-EU country are outstanding with very low long-term unemployment rates (<1 %).

The map shows a long-term employment rate in the Italian region of Umbria (2.7 %). This is in the average, both when compared to other national and European regions.

This map was produced for the ESPON TerrEvi project.



Long-Term Unemployment (12 Months and More) (%) 2011



Map 17 Long-term unemployment rate, 2011


Employment rate

Employment rate represents persons in employment as a percentage of the population of working age in 2011 (15-64 years) (Eurostat 1998). Employment statistics are frequently reported as employment rates to discount the changing size of countries' populations over time and to facilitate comparisons between countries of different sizes. These rates are typically published for the working age population, which is generally considered to be those aged between 15 and 64 years, although the age range of 16 to 64 is used in Spain, Sweden (only until 2001) and the United Kingdom, as well as in Iceland; this age group (15 to 64 years) is also a standard used by other international statistical organisations. One has to consider that employment rates can even increase despite a total decline of people in employment.

Employment rates are above 70% in all Nordic countries (except Finland), Germany, the Netherlands, Austria, Switzerland and most parts of the UK. However, no explicit gradient (neither north-south / east-west nor core-periphery) exists, as e.g. the Baltic States, France, northern Italy, northern Spain, Portugal, the Czech Republic and some parts of Poland and Finland show employment rates above 60%. Nevertheless, most regions with rates below 60% are situated in peripheral regions in Southern, Eastern and Southeast Europe.

The European pattern seems to be primarily dominated by the national level. Employment rate in the Italian region of Umbria is comprised between 60.0 and 70.0%. This is higher than the Italian average but rather in line with rates of other European regions.

This map was produced for the ESPON TERREVI project.



Map 18 Employment Rate, 2011


Youth unemployment rate

Youth unemployment rate refers to the number of unemployed youth as percentage of total labour force aged 15 to 24 (people who are out of work and have been actively seeking employment for at least a year). The unemployment period is defined as the duration of a job search, or as the length of time since the last job was held (if shorter than the time spent on a job search). A large share of people between these ages are outside the labour market (since many youths are studying full time and thus are not available for work) which explains why youth unemployment rates are generally higher than overall unemployment rates, or those of other age groups.

Cross-national differences in youth unemployment are considerable. Europe's core areas have low youth unemployment rates (<10%) in particular in Norway, Denmark, the Netherlands, and Germany. A majority of surrounding regions have medium shares (10-30%) i.e. the UK, large parts of France, Belgium, Hungary and Czech Republic, Switzerland, Northern Italy, Slovenia, Baltic States (except Lithuania) Sweden and Finland. In 2011, youth unemployment rates were especially high in Spain, Greece, Slovakia, Lithuania, and Portugal (>30%). In some countries this is also linked to high proportions of NEETs (Neither in Education, Employment nor Training).

According to ISTAT data, Umbria had an average value for youth unemployment of 17% in the period 2004-2011. Youth unemployment in Umbria rose in the last decade. Furthermore, youth unemployment in the province of Perugia (23.5%) is higher than in the Province of Terni (20.2%).

This map was produced for the ESPON TerrEvi project.



Map 19 Youth Unemployment Rate, 2011

Change in population in 2005-2050


Population change is the difference in the size of a population in a given time period. The change has two components: natural population change, i.e. number of live births minus number of deaths, and net migration, i.e. number of immigrants minus number of emigrants. The maps cover the period 2005 to 2050 and show the percentage change in the projected population of each region for all four scenarios.

The "Growing Social Europe" and "Expanding Market Europe" scenarios indicate rather similar patterns for 2050. Areas affected by population growth are concentrated in an Atlantic arc from Ireland to southern France, a Mediterranean arc from southern Portugal to Italy and southern Scandinavia. Regions affected by a shrinking population are mainly located in Eastern Europe, Germany and especially in south-eastern Europe. Additionally, most regions in Portugal will face a decrease in population.

In the "Limited Social Europe" and "Challenged Market Europe" scenarios the general trend is not reversed but just the extent of population change by 2050. Even wider parts of Poland, Hungary, and Eastern Germany are affected by a population decrease of more than 50%. In these scenarios, population in western Spain is also decreasing to a higher extent than in the aforementioned scenarios. Areas of population increase remain those close to the Atlantic and the Mediterranean Sea.

None of the scenarios reverses the trend for Umbria but only the extent of population decrease. Umbria will be affected by an increase in population in all scenarios, up to 25% in the "Limited Social Europe" and "Challenged Market Europe" and up to 50% in the "Growing Social" and "Expanding Market Europe" scenario. Similar population development is foreseen to neighbouring Italian regions of the Po Valley, south eastern England and southwestern France.

This map was prepared for the ESPON DEMIFER project and reproduced for the ESPON TerrEvi project.



Map 20 Change in population in 2005-2050

Change in labour force in 2005-2050


Change in labour force is the difference in the size of a labour in a given time period. Labour force is basically influenced by economic variables and demographic trends. Prospects and regional patterns of labour force evolution are defined according to four policy scenarios displayed in the map.

The "Growing Social Europe" scenario is defined by growth enabled by technical and social innovation and increasing collectivism, with a moderate increase in inter-state migration and moderate levels of extra-European immigration and an increasing labour force participation rate. "Expanded Market Europe" is shaped by a growth enabled based on technical and social innovation and growing individualism, with a high increase in inter-state migration and also high levels of extra-European migration and increasing labour market participation. According to this scenario, working population increases for a wide range of regions. However, within countries differences in growth figures are clearly visible.

The "Limited Social Europe" is defined by a growth limited by environmental constraints and growing collectivism, with a moderate decrease of inter-state migration, low extra-European integration and decreasing labour force participation. "Challenged Market Europe" has a growth path limited by environmental constraints and increasing individualism, with a low increase of inter-state migration, moderate extra-European immigration and decreasing labour force participation. In these two scenarios, labour force shrinks considerably in large part of Europe, while in others labour force growth is limited.

None of the scenarios reverses the positive trend for Umbria region but only the extent of labour force change. The region perform regardless of the scenario: Umbria is foreseen to have its labour force increase between 2005 and 2050. In the "Expanding Market Europe" and "Growing Social Europe" scenarios, Umbria is foreseen a labour force increase up to 186%. In the "Limited Social Europe" and "Challenged Market Europe" scenario, the region will record a limited growth, up to 30%.

This map was prepared for the ESPON DEMIFER project and reproduced for the ESPON TerrEvi project.



Map 21 Change in labour force in 2005-2050


Share of old people

The ageing population within the European Union is a trend, which is likely to increase in importance in the coming decade. Consistently low birth rates and higher life expectancy will transform the demographic pyramid; the proportion of people of working age in the EU is shrinking while the relative number of retired is expanding. This will increase the burden of social expenditures, and potentially lead to a reduction in social services. The map outlines the territorial impact of this trend, showing the share of population above 65 years.

The map shows a territorial pattern with considerable differences between countries in Europe. In Poland, Czech Republic, Slovakia, Romania, Ireland and Luxembourg most regions have a relatively young population. On the other hand Greece, Germany, northern Italy, northwest Spain, Portugal and southwest France show a dominance of regions with a high share (> 20%) of old people. Furthermore a rural-urban divided can be detected; Capital regions generally have a younger population, while especially peripheral regions are impacted by high shares of old people.

In line with a majority of Italian regions but above the majority of European regions, both provinces of Umbria have a high share of population aged over 65 years. Rates are comprised between 20.1 and 24.0% in the province of Perugia and above 24.0% in the province of Terni. Population ageing is a real challenge in Umbria.

This map was produced for the ESPON TerrEvi project.



Map 22 Share of old people, 2010


People at risk of poverty

One specific aim of the inclusive growth policy is to reduce poverty and social exclusion. To monitor this policy, an indicator showing the share of population at risk of poverty is used. The indicator covers the number of people who are at risk of poverty. The main component is an income below 60% of the median average national income (after social transfers).

In general, there are hardly any considerable variations within the most developed countries (towards the North and the West). In these countries poverty is distributed rather equally across regions. In contrast, in the Eastern and Southern countries internal heterogeneity is more pronounced, i.e. Italy, Spain, Bulgaria, Romania and Poland. This suggests that poverty is a very territorial issue in these countries, especially the more peripheral ones.

Focussing on Umbria region, the maps shows that its share of population at risk of poverty (12%) is in line with the European average (12%) but below Italian average (16%). The reasons for poverty can differ, so while regions at the same time can cooperate and learn from each other in reducing poverty, one ought not forget that poverty need to be fought with many different means. To this regard, another indicator is relevant, the share of people at risk of poverty or social exclusion, which also takes into account severe material deprivation and (low) work intensity. For this indicator Umbria has a value of 19%, in 2011.

This map was originally prepared for the ESPON SIESTA project and reproduced for the ESPON TerrEvi project.



Map 23 Population at Risk of Poverty, 2010


People with high education

The map shows the percentage of the adult population that has completed upper secondary or tertiary education. Upper secondary education (ISCED 3) generally begins at the end of compulsory education. The entrance age is typically 15 or 16 years. Entrance qualifications are usually needed. The typical duration of upper secondary education varies from two to five years. It may either be "terminal" (preparing for entry into working life) or "preparatory" (i.e. preparing for tertiary education) but usually corresponds to the final stage of secondary education. Tertiary education (ISCED 5 and 6) usually requires the successful completion of upper secondary education. It includes both programmes with a theoretical and an occupational orientation but also studies that lead to an advanced research qualification.

Portugal, Spain, Italy and Greece all lack behind the rest of Europe in this regard. Most regions in these countries do only have a share below 64% of the population having obtained at least an Upper Secondary degree. Best performing regions are found in north-eastern Europe with most regions having a share higher than 72%. Regions in France, Ireland, the Benelux countries and Romania range between shares of 64% and 80%. The map indicates a pattern showing high dependency on the country, to which a region belongs. This indicates that the social structure and the structure of the national educational system have a great influence on the level of education of the population.


In the case of Umbria region has a share of 66% of persons aged 25-64 and 20-24 have upper secondary or tertiary education. Although Umbria - with Lazio and Trentino - has the highest rate in Italy (57%), this is below the European average (73%). Adults' participation in education and training is another way to raise the number of people with high education. Focussing only on the persons aged 25-64 in 2011, according to ISTAT, Umbria has a share of 16.6% in line with Italy, while the share of people with upper secondary school is 46.9%, higher than the Italian average.

The map was originally prepared for the ESPON SIESTA project and re-produced for the ESPON TerrEvi project.



Regional level: NUTS 2
Source: GISCO, 2006
Origin of data: EUROSTAT, 2012
© EuroGeographics Association for administrative boundaries

Persons Aged 25-64 and 20-24 with Upper Secondary or Tertiary Education Attainment in 2011 (%)



Map 24 Persons aged 25-64 and 20-24 with Upper Secondary or Tertiary Education Attainment, 2011


Young academics

A share of 40% of regional population aged 30 to 34 years with tertiary education attainment is one main headline target for inclusive growth within the Europe 2020 strategy. It can be seen that about 1/4 of all regions already reached this target.

Highest shares are to be stated for northern Spain, France, the UK and Nordic countries. Surprisingly, northern German and Austrian regions score very low. On the other hand, capital regions of Eastern Europe like Warszawa, Bratislava, Ljubljana or Sofia stand out as regions where population with tertiary education attainment agglomerates. Besides Germany and Austria, mainly Eastern and Southern European countries (except Spain, Poland and the Baltic States) show values of less than 30% - some even less than 20% - of total population aged 30-34 with tertiary education.

Umbria shows a value between 20% and 30% and therefore verges to fall relatively far behind the EU2020 Target.

This map was originally prepared for the ESPON SIESTA project and reproduced for the ESPON TerrEvi project.



Persons aged 30-34 with tertiary education attainment (%) 2012 EU 2020 Target = 40%



Data for Valle d'Aosta (2008), Ciudad Autónoma de Ceuta (2010)
Brandenburg – Nordost, Brandenburg – Südwest, Chemnitz,
Leipzig, Itä-Suomi, Etelä-Suomi, Pohjois-Suomi, Provincia Autonoma
Bolzano/Bozen, Provincia Autonoma Trento, Veneto, Friuli-Venezia
Giulia, Emilia-Romagna, Toscana, Umbria, Marche, Lazio,
Cheshire, Merseyside (2011)

Map 25 Regional population aged 30 to 34 with tertiary education, 2010


Adults in education and training

For improving and maintaining the skills of the labour force, adult education is an important instrument. Life-long learning is the reality and a pressing demand of today's labour market. Furthermore, it can be an important tool to improve the general level of education in the population and thereby potentially reduce the risk of poverty. The map shows the percentage of the working age population (age 25-64) attending adult education or training.

The map reveals a sharp North-South divide, with the exception of Switzerland and Slovenian regions. The Nordic countries, the United Kingdom and the Netherlands have more than 15% of the working age population participating in adult education. Looking at the rest of Europe, in most Spanish, Austrian, Slovenian and Estonian regions still 10-15% of the working age population are in adult education. For the group of countries with a share below 10%, a further West-East divide becomes apparent. Eastern European countries generally have the lowest share of population in adult education, while German, French, Italian and Irish regions show a better performance. It is interesting to see once again that the country to a great extent is a determinant factor for the performance of a region (see map 22). Explanations of this pattern can be as simple as a lack of opportunities to attend adult education, which can be linked to traditions and infrastructure of adult education or training opportunities in the individual countries (lack of supply, support from employer or financial means to do so).

With a percentage of 7% from the adults (aged 25 to 64) attended education and training in 2011, the Umbria region in one of the top regions from Italy (the national average being 5.7%). In the last 10 years the region had a positive evolution doubling the value of this indicator. Still, the region is far from the 15% EU target.

This map was originally prepared for the ESPON SIESTA project and reproduced for the ESPON TerrEvi project.



Participation of adults aged 25-64 in education and training (%) 2011



Map 26 Share of adults in education and training, 2010


Regional early school leavers

Another headline target of the Europe 2020 strategy concerns regional early school leavers. By 2020 the share of young people (aged 18-24) without any degree is supposed to be < 10%. Therefore, the map indicates which regions have already met the target and for which regions this challenge will remain a persisting one for the next ten years.

In general, Eastern European countries perform better than many Western European countries. All regions of the corridor ranging from Switzerland and Croatia over Southern Germany, Austria and Slovenia up to Poland have already met the target. Additionally, several Scandinavian and western French regions as well as southern Ireland and single regions in the Benelux countries and Germany stand out in Western Europe. On the other hand, the worrying situation in all parts of Turkey, Spain and Portugal indicates that the regional performance of educational systems is highly influenced by the national level. Furthermore, some peripheral regions like Wales, Scotland, Iceland or northern Norway also have high shares of early school leavers.

Umbria was in 2010 slightly above the target value (10%-20%).

This map was originally prepared for the ESPON SIESTA project and reproduced for the ESPON TerrEvi project.



Early leavers from education and training as percentage of population aged 18-24 (2010)
EU 2020 Target = 10%



Map 27 Regional early school leavers from education and training as percentage of population aged 18 to 24 (drop-out rate), 2010

Results and feedback from the workshop

In general, indicators provided by ESPON projects and presented within the evidence package are considered useful for Umbria Region. However, some aspects may limit the usefulness. They might provide opportunities to further improve the presented indicators.

First of all, some indicators seem to be dated, due to the fact that either the projects were conducted a few years ago, or the data sets are rather old. The main reason is that it takes some time to collect and harmonise data sets at European level.

Another factor that may hamper an indicator's usefulness, concerns the territorial level at which it is calculated and presented. It would be desirable if it were more specific and detailed regarding the territorial scale because the rate might considerably differ between different regions.

A third factor regards the complexity of indicators. Composite indicators that combine several and very different dimensions and sub-indicators can be difficult to understand in policy processes.

Programming steps

Focussing on details concerning the five programming steps, the reviewed indicators are deemed relevant for the needs analysis, thematic concentration, programme monitoring and stakeholder dialogue within the programming period or even within single projects. Proposed indicators are considered more difficult to use for project selection.

Further suggestions

Employment rate	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- split by sectoral level- split by age- split by gender
Long-term unemployment rate	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- useful for social policy
Change of labour force (2005-2050)	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- split by activities- propose medium term scenario (2005-2020)
Population at risk of poverty	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- deliver time series- disaggregate

2 Territorial factors of interest for the programme area

Territorial cooperation programmes can make a difference for the future development of cross-border and transnational territories in Europe. Some of the factors can be analysed by European wide data sets and using some studies having specific maps, figures and tables concerning the areas of the cooperation region.

Besides a wide range of standard indicators frequently used in the context of European regional policies, ESPON has established various indicators which focus more on the territorial dimension. These indicators provide among others information on the development preconditions of an area. Two standard indicators in this field are rural-urban settings and accessibility.

Umbria Regional Operational Programme area stands away from Europe's main metropolitan areas. However, some of the main Italian global agglomerations are located in rather close proximity outside the programme area: the Po Valley in the North and Rome in the South.

Urban areas are a minor part of the programme area, which is in a European perspective rather dominated by intermediate and rural areas and areas in close proximity to a city.

Whereas the programme area comprises an international airport, multimodal accessibility measured by rail, road and air remains slightly below European average values. Possibilities for one-day business trips within the European urban network are also limited.

With regard to geographic specificities, the programme area is clearly characterised by mountainousness. As a landlocked region, Umbria is dominated by the Apennine Mountains.


Urban-rural typology

This typology draws together various story lines. The first step is to classify all local units as urban or rural, using a criteria of population density of 150 inhabitants per square kilometre. Predominantly Urban regions are those in which less than 15% live in rural local units. Intermediate regions are defined as those in which between 15% and 50% live in rural local units. Predominantly Rural regions have more than 50% of their population living in rural local units. Intermediate and Rural regions are further divided into accessible and remote groups. A region is in the accessible group if more than half of its residents can drive to a city of at least 50,000 inhabitants within 45 minutes. Conversely, if less than half its population can reach a city within 45 minutes, it is considered remote.

At first glance, it is striking that most rural remote regions are situated in the European periphery, i.e. in Spain, Portugal, Greece, Ireland, Scandinavia and Finland. In contrast, a pattern of predominantly urban areas stretches from the UK to the Netherlands, Belgium, Germany to northern Italy. One can discern that even urban and rural remote areas can be neighbouring regions. This is a sign of Europe as a patchwork of densely and sparsely populated regions which additionally show different levels of accessibility.

Landlocked Italian region, in Umbria, the province of Terni is an intermediate accessible area, the province of Perugia is classified as a predominantly rural accessible area.

This map was originally prepared for the ESPON EDORA project and reproduced for the ESPON TerrEvi project.



Map 28 Urban-rural typology of NUTS3 regions


Multimodal accessibility

With the purpose of providing an overview of the degree of accessibility of European regions, multimodal potential accessibility synthesizes indicators specific for each travel mode (road, rail and air). Travel costs mainly depend on the physical distances and on the limits of travel speed. While dense road and rail networks are responsible for high potential accessibility in Central Europe, multimodal accessibility for peripheral areas primarily bases upon air accessibility.

Taking also into account an obvious core-periphery pattern, analysing multimodal accessibility moreover creates a more balanced version of this traditional European pattern. The basic core-periphery picture is constituted by road and rail transport but somehow balanced by the impact of air transport. This is especially significant for capital regions of the European periphery whose accessibility is clearly above the accessibility of the surrounding regions. However, capital regions in peripheral countries do not reach the degree of accessibility of urban regions in the European pentagon (London, Paris, Milano, Munich, Hamburg). These urban regions benefit both from high air and from high rail and road accessibility.

Located in the north-central part of the Italian Peninsula, Umbria has a potential multimodal accessibility below the European average for both provinces (Perugia – 85 and Terni – 78 against the European average of 100). Beyond the peri-central geographical position within the European context, Umbria is forced to face the disadvantage of being placed in an interstitial space in the Italian territory, distant from major urban centres and axes of communication.

This map was originally prepared for the ESPON TRACC project and reproduced for the ESPON TerrEvi project.



3 Recommended ESPON reading

ESPON provides an essential underpinning for translating into practice the calls for integrated and place-based approaches to economic development, when analysing a programme area or deciding about future programme priorities. ESPON has published a wide range of exciting reports providing valuable territorial evidence for future territorial cooperation initiatives.

The table below shows examples of relevant projects for the Cooperation Region. However, you have to study other ESPON reports as well in order to capitalise fully on the European information available for the transnational programming.

ESPON study	Topic	Content
TRANSMEC	European cooperation	It develops a method providing guidance on how ESPON results can add value to support territorial cooperation programmes (see map 27 and from map 36 to 39 on potential accessibility indicators).
EDORA	Rural areas	It provides evidence on the development opportunities of diverse types of European rural areas and reveals options for improving their competitiveness.
SGPTD	Growth poles	It provides evidence on European secondary cities, their performance and functional roles in different parts of Europe, and the potential policy intervention affecting their performance (see from figure 2 to 2.12).
ATTREG	Attractiveness	It provides a better understanding of the contribution of European regions' and cities' attractiveness to economic performance and it identifies the key ingredients of attractiveness in different types of territories.
GEOSPECS	Specific types of territories	It provides evidence on the strength, weaknesses and development opportunities of specific types of territories and regions (e.g. border areas, highly or sparsely populated areas).
ReRisk	Energy	It focuses on opportunities to support competitive and clean energy supplies for regions in Europe and to generate and strengthen sustainable energy sources.
TERCO	Territorial cooperation	It provides an assessment of the adequacy of existing territorial cooperation areas for meeting current challenges of territorial development and a proposal of potentially meaningful new cooperation areas throughout Europe.
KIT	Innovation	It takes into account the current state, patterns and potentials of regions with respect to the knowledge and innovation economy and identifies new development opportunities through innovation for Europe and its territories (see from map 3.1.1 to 4.4.1).
RISE	Integrated strategies	It shows how monitoring and evaluation indicators and methodologies can be used to enhance the development of Regional Integrated Strategies.

Furthermore, some of overall ESPON products of particular interest for territorial cooperation are:

- **ESPON Synthesis report** "new evidence on smart, sustainable and inclusive territories" provides an easy to read overview on ESPON results available.
- **ESPON Territorial Observations** is a publication series, which on a few pages presents policy relevant findings deriving from latest ESPON research.
- **ESPON 2013 Database Portal** provides regional information provided by ESPON projects and EUROSTAT.
- **ESPON HyperAltas** allows comparing and analysing a region's relative position at European, national and local scale for a wide range of criteria.
- **ESPON MapFinder** provides access to the most relevant ESPON maps resulting from ESPON projects and reports.
- **ESPON Typologies** provides nine regional typologies for additional analysis of regional data to be considered in the European context.

All ESPON reports and tools are freely available at

www.espon.eu

www.espon.eu

The ESPON 2013 Programme is part-financed by the European Regional Development Fund, the EU Member States and the Partner States Iceland, Liechtenstein, Norway and Switzerland. It shall support policy development in relation to the aim of territorial cohesion and a harmonious development of the European territory.